

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,483	06/29/2004 Ulrich Bohne		3012	4388
75	90 02/21/2006		EXAM	INER
Striker Striker 103 East Neck I			HAMILTON	I, ISAAC N
Huntington, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3 , .	•		3724	
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SY
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	10/500,483	BOHNE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Isaac N. Hamilton	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory i - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>29 June 2004</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al	•	• •
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 4 53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction an	d/or clostics requirement	
o) Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		
Applicant may not request that any objection t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	,	` ' '
	to Examiner. Note the attached	onice Action of form 1 10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		
2. Conjugate the position on the		· ·
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B 	· ·	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received
Coo the attached detailed Office action for	a not of the certified copies flot	TOOCIYEU.
Attachment(s)		

1) Notice of

	Notice											
2) 🔲	Notice	of D	rafts	person	s P	atent	Dra	wing	Re	view (PTO-948))
\sim	1		<u> </u>		٠.							

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) 📖	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)	Other:
O,	Culei.

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. Species in figures 1, 1a and 1b.
- II. Species in figures 2a-2c.
- III. Species in figures 3a-3d.
- IV. Species in figures 4a-4d.

The species are independent or distinct because species I does not have a feed tube and has a flap; species II has a rotary slide; species III has a feed tube with a connection piece; species IV has a substantially straight feed tube.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3724

A telephone call was made to applicant's representative Mr. Michael Striker, on 02/13/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant requested a written election requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IH

February 14, 2006

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER